UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,214	09/28/2004	Ole-Bendt Rasmussen	RASMUS 3.3-001 CONT 5707		
	7590 12/30/2009 /ID, LITTENBERG,	9	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		PADEN, CAROLYN A		
600 SOUTH A' WESTFIELD, I	= '=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/787,2	4	RASMUSSEN, OLE-BENDT				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Carolyn A	Paden	1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi- tion. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 05 November 2	009					
•		This action is n						
′=	Since this application is in condition for a	<del>_</del>		secution as to the	e merits is			
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-104,106 and 107</u> is/are pendi	ng in the applica	ion.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) <u>44-104, 106, 107</u> is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer						
-	-		Objected to by the I	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	- · · ·		• •			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	-	oreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	)-(d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
ω, <sub>/</sub> [	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have bee	n received.					
				on No.				
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ателт дригатоп				

Application/Control Number: 10/787,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-52 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,887,503. This is a double patenting rejection.

Applicants' editorial amendments to the claims are appreciated but it is not seen that the minor changes to the claims amount to a substantial difference from the prior patented claims.

Assuming, arguendo that examiner were persuaded to withdraw the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 101, the next step would be to advance a rejection of the claims under obviousness-type double patenting.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this

Art Unit: 1794

application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 44-104, 106, 107 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached by dialing 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carolyn Paden/

Primary Examiner 1794